Table 7.—Monthly and annual sums of the 17-year mean of the sun and sky radiation upon a horizontal surface at Madison, Wis., from April, 1911, to March, 1928, inclusive, in gram calories per square centimeter

Year	January	Febru- ary	March	April	May	June	July	August	Septem- ber	October	Novem- ber	Decem- ber	Year
1911 1912	6, 297	6, 953	12, 006	11, 742 12, 663	15, 843 11, 948	16, 153 16, 891	17, 202 15, 623	14, 072 13, 380	10, 598 10, 001	6, 533 7, 932	4, 554 5, 137	4, 217	100, 64
1913	4,651	7, 584	10,008	13, 380	13, 906	17, 704	17, 413	13, 028	9, 829	7, 380	4, 218	4, 024 3, 717	122, 85 122, 81
914 915		7, 422 5, 062	9, 854 11, 883	12, 213 13, 069	16, 238 12, 494	15, 203 14, 605	17, 417 13, 273	14, 128 12, 884	11,060 9,429	7, 070 7, 934	5, 656 4, 947	4,320 3,519	123, 76 114, 08
916	4, 117 6, 106	7, 292 8, 147	10, 272 10, 031	12, 727 11, 730	15, 024 16, 002	15, 673 13, 630	17, 872 16, 736	14, 516 14, <b>2</b> 39	10, 486 10, 562	7, 793 6, 400	4,831 4,319	4, 469 4, 190	125, 07 122, 09
918 919	. 5,982	7, 145 5, 926	11, 446 9, 739	11, 883	14, 194	15, 681	16, 187	13, 711	10, 246	7,026	4, 395	2,697	120, 59
920	5, 357	6, 616	10, 175	10, 042 11, 432	14, 752   16, 410	14, 779 16, 007	16, 014 16, 219	15, 297 13, 783	9, 970 10, 940	6, 298 7, 701	4, 820 3, 712	4, 342 3, 266	116, 85 121, 61
921 922	4, 130 5, 710	5, 394 6, 937	8, 219 8, 677	11, 649 11, 437	14, 794 14, 380	15, 671 15, 664	16, 842 15, 112	13,837 14,032	10, 889 11, 039	7, 317 8, 177	3, 864 3, 481	3, 680 3, 788	116, 28 118, 43
923 924	4, 025	6, 502 6, 015	11, 122 8, 465	12,712 11,085	16, 529 13, 810	14, 986 12, 952	15, 655 15, 579	12, 928 13, 530	9, 069 9, 591	7, 218 8, 417	4, 149 4, 128	3, 302 3, 868	118, 19 112, 60
925	4,869	5, 161	9,916	12, 167	16, 795	16, 920	15, 596	14, 050	9,810	5, 823	4, 478	3,649	119, 23
927	4, 638 4, 532	5, 185 6, 286	9, 530 8, 816	14, 732 10, 515	15,497 $11,592$	16, 722 16, 362	14, 760 16, 489	13, 120 14, 601	7, 940 9, 131	6, 262 7, 444	3, 708 3, 282	3, 392 3, 502	115, 48 112, 55
928	4,749	6, 743 6, 492	10, 635 10, 047	12, 053	14, 718	15, 623	16, 117	13, 832	10, 035	7, 219	4, 334	3, 761	119, 13

## DISCUSSION

Note on Figure 2 of Piippo's paper.—The author intimates in his text that the rather steady decrease in the annual totals of radiation, as indicated by the broken line in Figure 2, can be accounted for in part only by increased smokiness of the atmosphere of Madison due to an increase in the consumption of bituminous coal as a fuel in recent years. Also, while there is, in general, accord between average annual cloudiness and the total annual radiation from year to year, there is no evidence of an increase in cloudiness in recent years as compared with the earlier years covered by the pyrheliometric record.

It remains to ascertain if the recording pyrheliometer may not have deteriorated during the 17 years it has been in use. There are three ways in which we might expect deterioration, as follows:—

expect deterioration, as follows:—

(1) The blackened grids might become less black or the platinum wires of the bright grid might tarnish. Visual observations do not indicate that this is the case.

(2) The glass dome covering the grids might become less transparent. Visual observations do not show that this is the case. However, such observations are quite inconclusive.

(3) A sliding contact on a bridge wire maintains a balance in the two arms of the bridge which is a part of the register, and if this wire becomes worn its resistance increases and less movement is necessary to balance the heating of the black grids by radiation of a given intensity. In consequence there is lessened movement of the pen over the intensity scale of the record sheet. Since the wire has been kept coated with oil there can have been little wear on the wire.

Nevertheless, there is opportunity for deterioration of the apparatus in the manner indicated above, and the only practicable way to detect it is to recalibrate the pyrheliometer occasionally.

In Table 8 are given summaries of comparisons between the measurements of the intensity of the vertical component of direct sunshine by the Callendar and the Marvin pyrheliometers.

The ratios shown indicate that the Callendar register now records 3 per cent lower than it did in the years 1913– 1915, and this will account for about half the decrease in

Table 8.— Values (f) of a scale division of the Callendar pyrheliometer in gram calories per minute per square centimeter, as determined by comparisons between the Callendar and Marvin pyrheliometers

		Į V								
Solar alti- tude	Num- ber of obser- va- tions	f	Solar alti- tude	Num- ber of obser- va- tions	f	Solar alti- tude	Num- ber of obser- va- tions	f		
58. 3 60. 6	15 10	0. 0346 . 0353	43. 0 43. 6 41. 2	16 7 19	0. 0342 . 0354 . 0358	29. 8 30. 2 30. 9	14 5 14	0. 0354 . 0377 . 0367		
		1. 020			1. 035			1. 065		
	•				1. 047			1. 037		
20. 1 21. 8	3 4	. 0380	15. 9	3	. 0423	14. 3	3	. 0502		
22.5	8	. 0382	15.6	2	. 0417	12.6	1	. 0489		
		. 0976								
		1, 005			. 0986			. 0974		
	20. 1 21. 8 22. 5	Solar alti- tude obser- tude v3- tions  58. 3 15 60. 6 10	Solar obser- tude obser- tude va- tions  58.3 15 0.0346 60.6 10 .0353  1.020  20.1 3 0.0380 21.8 4 0.0371 22.5 8 .0382  .0976	Solar altitude   Sola	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		

Weighted mean ratio (all Solar altitudes)  $\frac{1917}{1913-1915}$ ,  $1.024 \frac{1927}{1913-1915}$ , 1.032,

annual radiation receipt during the above period. The remaining half I am inclined to attribute to increased smokiness, which is not apparent in the atmospheric transmission coefficients of Table 2 for the reason that observations with the Marvin pyrheliometer are not made on days when the atmosphere contains much smoke.

The Marvin pyrheliometer at Madison was compared with Smithsonian pyrheliometer No. 1 on September 29, 1928, and the mean of three series, each covering a period Marvin

of about 30 minutes, gave for the ratio  $\frac{1.112111}{\text{Smithsonian}}$  the value 1.002. Smithsonian No. 1 is frequently compared with substandards at the Smithsonian Institution. It was last compared on February 28, 1928, and was found to be in accord with them within the error of observation. This has been the result of all comparisons made since May 3, 1920; and since July 12, 1910, the change in the ratio between Smithsonian substandards and Smithsonian No. 1 has been only  $0.7 \pm 4.34$  per cent, No. 1 appearing to read that amount lower at the present time.—H.~H.~Kimball.